Application/Control Number: 10/551,671 Page 2

Art Unit: 2624

DETAILED ACTION

Examiner's Amendment

An examiner's amendment to the record appears below. Should the changes
and/or additions be unacceptable to applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided
by 37 CFR 1.312. To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it MUST be
submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee.

Authorization for this examiner's amendment was given in a telephone interview with Mr. John E. Holmes (Reg # 29,392) on 6/19/09.

The application has been amended as follow:

IN THE CLAIMS.

- (Currently Amended) A computer program embodied in a computerreadable medium for comparing at least two sets of multimedia data using with shape information so as to provide a dissimilarity measure between the sets, a first set forming a reference set and the second set being compared relative to the first set, comprising:
- a) a first set of instructions for providing <u>shape information in the form of</u> a set of approximately equidistant contour points for each set of multimedia data;
- a second set of instructions for associating the contour points of the first set with contour points of the second set so as to define associated contour points; and
- a third set of instructions for calculating distances between said associated contour points,

wherein the distances between said associated contour points are used to determine a dissimilarity measure between said sets of multimedia data, thereby providing an indicator of the degree of similarity between the sets.

 (Currently Amended) A computer-implemented method for comparing at least two sets of multimedia data using with shape information so as to provide a

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/551,671

Art Unit: 2624

dissimilarity measure between the sets, a first set forming a reference set and the second set being compared relative to the first set, the method comprising causing a computer to carry out the steps of:

- a) providing <u>shape information in the form of</u> a set of approximately equidistant contour points for each set of multimedia data:
- associating the contour points of the first set with contour points of the second set so as to define associated contour points; and
- c) calculating distances between said associated contour points, wherein the distances between said associated contour points are used by said computer to determine a dissimilarity measure between said sets of multimedia data, said computer thereby providing an indicator of the degree of similarity between the sets.
- 21. (Currently Amended) A system for comparing at least two sets of multimedia data using with shape information so as to provide a dissimilarity measure between the sets, a first set forming a reference set and the second set being compared relative to the first set, the system comprising:
- a) means for providing <u>shape information in the form of</u> a set of approximately equidistant contour points for each set of multimedia data;
- b) means for associating the contour points of the first set with contour points of the second set so as to define associated contour points; and
- c) means for calculating distances between said associated contour points, wherein the distances between said associated contour points are used by said system to determine a dissimilarity measure between said sets of multimedia data, said system thereby providing an indicator of the degree of similarity between the sets.

Application/Control Number: 10/551,671 Page 4

Art Unit: 2624

Response to Amendment

Applicant's amendment filed on 2/17/09 has been entered.

In response to applicant's amendment the rejection for 101 has been withdrawn.

Claim 19 is cancel.

Claims 1- 18, 20- 21 are pending in the application.

Response to Arguments

 Applicant's arguments filed on 2/17/09 have been fully considered and are persuasive.

Reason For Allowance

4. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

Claims 1- 18, and 20-21 are allowed, Renumbered as 1-20.

The examiner requested applicant to have a telephone interview and also recommended to amend the claims 1, 20 and 21, because preamble has not been given patentable weight because the recitation occurs in the preamble. A preamble is generally not accorded any patentable weight where it merely recites the purpose of a process or the intended use of a structure, and where the body of the claim does not depend on the preamble for completeness but, instead, the process steps or structural limitations are able to stand alone. Examiner have asked applicant to amend the claim 1 line 2 delete "using" by add "with" in the preamble of claim and also amend in the body of the claim 1 line 5 add " shape information in the form of" followed by the claim limitation. Similarly claim 20 and 21 has been amended by doing the examiner

Application/Control Number: 10/551.671

Art Unit: 2624

amendment see above. See *In re Hirao*, 535 F.2d 67, 190 USPQ 15 (CCPA 1976) and *Kropa v. Robie*. 187 F.2d 150. 152. 88 USPQ 478. 481 (CCPA 1951).

7,224,357 B2) discloses three-dimensional modeling based on photographic images.

Tessadro (US. 7,003,161 B2) discloses systems and methods for boundary detection in

For Independent claims 1, 20 and 21, the prior art on record Chen et al., (US.

images. Mahoney (US. 5, 255,354) discloses comparison of image shapes based on near neighbor data. Darrell et al., (US. 6,343,150 B1) discloses detection of image correspondence using radial cumulative similarity. Chen, Tessadro, Mahoney and Darrell fail to teach c). a third set of instructions for calculating distances between said associated contour points,

wherein the distances between said associated contour points are used to determine a dissimilarity measure between said sets of multimedia data, thereby providing an indicator of the degree of similarity between the sets. Therefore, the prior art of record fails to teach either singularly or in combination, fails to anticipate or render the above limitations obvious. Claims 1-18, 20 and 21 are allowed.

5. Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted on later than the payment of the issue fee and to avoid processing delays should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled comments on statement of reasons for allowance.

Contact Information

 Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to SHEELA C. CHAWAN whose telephone number is (571)272-7446. The examiner can normally be reached on 7.30-5.00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vikkram Bali can be reached on 571-272-7401. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free)? If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Sheela C Chawan/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2624

Application/Control Number: 10/551,671 Page 7

Art Unit: 2624